$B\Omega\Sigma E\Sigma\Theta E$: AN APOLOGY

I sincerely apologize to Dr. Giangrande and to Mr. Campbell for suggesting that the addendum on the future of $\beta \acute{o} \sigma \kappa \omega$ in Liddell and Scott had escaped them. Dr. Giangrande in fact refers to it, and to other literature where $\beta \acute{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ is explained as a future of $\beta \acute{o} \sigma \kappa \omega$, in the learned paper cited by Mr. Campbell (Antiquité Classique [1970], 56). Agreeing with Dr. Giangrande that the contraction of $\beta \iota \acute{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ is impossible, and with Mr. Campbell that $\beta o\acute{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ is ruled out by the sense, I never suspected that an explanation which is perfect both in sense and in point of form (see Frisk s.v. $\beta \acute{o} \sigma \kappa \omega$) could be known to them.

OTTO SKUTSCH